

以下是 Michael Tracey 全部有關賀錦麗的推特。

This is probably the best day of the campaign so far for Trump.

Just a nightmarishly bad pick in every way.

Kamala called Biden a racist on national TV and later admitted the attack was fraudulent -- her campaign crashed and burned spectacularly despite enormous hype from fawning pundits. But somewhere along the line it was just decreed that she's entitled to VP. Makes perfect sense.

It tells you everything you need to know about the current Democratic Party intelligentsia that in order to get put on the ticket headed by an old white guy, the smart play is go before a national TV audience and call him a racist.

Kamala's presidential campaign was a total humiliating disaster. One of the worst of all time, considering the amount of unearned hype she received. Did everybody miss that, or what?

Terrible pick from a strategic perspective, a governing perspective -- every perspective, really. There's a good chance Kamala repels more voters than she attracts. This was about party brokers / interest groups imposing their will on Biden, and him capitulating.

Tulsi's debate stage confrontation with Kamala was so effective because it was 100% substantive and informed by Tulsi's sincere beliefs on criminal justice policy. Kamala responded by smearing her with unrelated nonsense (Assad) -- she couldn't defend her record on the merits.

Contrast this with Kamala's infamous ambush of Biden -- a pre-planned marketing stunt that Kamala later admitted was pure political opportunism. Had nothing to do with her sincere beliefs on policy.

Kamala's past as a hardline prosecutor doesn't endear her to left-wing voters, and her more recent embrace of an Extremely Online activist rhetorical style doesn't endear her to "Law and Order" voters. So she's in a weird political "no man's land." Who's her natural constituency?

NYT is finally excited about the election, so happy for them.

NYT and other elite media giddily touted the "history-making potential" of Kamala from the moment she announced her presidential campaign, hence why she was the recipient of so much unearned hype. Her entire trajectory is a self-fulfilling media prophesy.

Notice how the NYT describes Kamala as having "history-making potential" but omits this label for Tulsi, even though she's a minority woman. NYT

instead chooses to label Tulsi an anti-gay Assad lover. This is how establishment consensus tries to preemptively disqualify candidates.

The selection of Kamala is being framed as some kind of fulfillment of the desires of "black women," but it would be more accurately framed as a fulfillment of the desires of Dem elites/donors/pundits who have boosted KH for years despite her inability to garner electoral support.

The irony of this VP selection process is that Biden's overwhelming victory in the primaries was a repudiation of the idea that voters choose candidates purely on the basis of identity factors. That's more an elite fixation. But this elite fixation is 100% what drove the VP pick.

Wall Street is perfectly fine with Kamala because they know the priorities of the Dem Party now decisively hinge on things like racial/gender representation -- which they can certainly accomodate. This doesn't meaningfully challenge their power in any way.